

2857

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re: Patent Application of:

Inventor(s):

Ed O. Schlotzhauer et al. 18th September, 2001

Serial No.:

Filed:

09/955,796

Confirmation No.: Group Art Unit:

1044 2857

Examiner:

Jeffrey West

Docket Number:

10010804-1

Title: A Method for User Variation of a Measurement Function

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING / FAX TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:
[X] deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail with sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to: MS Non-Fee Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

[] transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office to Examiner: at Fax Number:

RENEE' MICHELLE LEVEQUE

(Applicant, Assignee or Reg. Representative)

Signature

December 1#2004

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

Dear Sir:

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS:

In response to the Interview Summary Form mailed on November 30, 2004, Applicant wishes to enter the following remarks for the Examiner's consideration. As the Interview Summary Form was mailed by the Office after a reply to the last office action was filed, this Statement is being filed within one month from mailing date of the Interview Summary Form.

Several points discussed in the Interview dated November 22, 2004, include:

1. The Applicant explained that, in the Snyder reference, control is passed to
a menu routine when a variation point is reached, then to a user selected
function, whereas in claim 1 control is passed directly to a user defined
function.

Docket Number 10010804-1 Application No. 09/955,796

- 2. The Examiner explained that in claim one, the 'variation function' in the preamble could be considered to be different to the 'user-defined function for causing the variation' in the second and third elements. Therefore, in the Murphy reference, a menu could be considered a "variation function" and a CMI could be considered a "user defined function".
- 3. The Examiner opined that in the Snyder reference the step of "associating the user-defined function with the variation function" occurred when the user selected from a menu. The Examiner agreed that the association occurred after the variation point was reached.
- 4. The Applicant explained that the Murphy reference describes a method for adjusting functionality in state-machine. The Snyder reference does not describe a state machine, so it is unclear how the references could be combined. Murphy column 7, lines 66-67 describes how a menu routine is used to select which CMI's are activated. However, these CMI's are not called from variation points in a program. Rather, the CMI's are activated by state changes. Murphy's approach allows the user to define CMI's to be applied at any state change, while the present invention allows user-defined functions to be called only at specific variation points in the code. Further, when execution of a user-defined CMI is completed, control not does continue from the variation point but returns to a download engine which then switches control dependent upon the state (which may be changed in the CMI). (Column 8, lines 52-65). In the Murphy reference, each CMI is called at each

state change, or at every change that satisfies a state mask (Column 8, lines

45-51). Thus CMI's are associated with states, rather than with variation

points. There are no instructions inserted in the code of the download engine,

so this is not equivalent to calling the function at a specific variation point in a

computer program.

5. The Examiner agreed that the Murphy reference was a state machine, but

explained that he was combining the user generated CMI's of Murphy with

Snyder's menu selection.

If there are any questions about this filing, please contact the undersigned.

Please charge any fees necessary for prosecution of the present application

to deposit account no. 50-1078. If any extension of time is required, such

extension of time is hereby requested. Please charge any additional required

fee for extension of time to Applicant's Deposit Account No. 50-1078.

Respectfully submitted,

Renee' Michelle Larson Leveque

Larson & Associates, P.C.

Reg. No. 36,193

221 East Church Street

Frederick, Maryland 21701

301-668-3073

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Docket Number 10010804-1 Application No. 09/955,796 3

PATENT APPLICATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. ___10010804-1_

Petition to extend time to respond

Supplemental Declaration

IN THE

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Te inventor(s): Schlotzhauer et al

Serial No.: 09/955,796

Examiner: West, Jeffrey R.

Filing Date: 09/18/01

Group Art Unit: 2857

Title:

A METHOD FOR USER VARIATION OF A MEASUREMENT FUNCTION

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450

Response/Amendment

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR RESPONSE/AMENDMENT

Transmitted herewith is/are the following in the above-identified application:

Sir:

	CLA	IMS AS AME	NDED BY O	THER THAN A	SMALL	. ENTIT	Υ			
(1) FOR	(2) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMEN	L L	(4) HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR		(5) PRESENT EXTRA		(6) RATE		(7) ADDITION FEES	
TOTAL CLAIMS		MINUS			=	0	x	\$18	\$	
INDEP. CLAIMS		MINUS			H	0	×	\$88	\$	
[] FIR:	ST PRESENTATION OF	A MULTIPLE	DEPENDENT	CLAIM			+	\$300	\$	
EXTENSION FEE	1ST MONT \$110.00		MONTH 30.00	3RD MON \$980.00		J	4TH MONTH \$1530.00		\$	
						C	THER	FEES	\$	
			TOTAL A	DDITIONAL FE	E EOR	THIS A	MENIC	MENT	\$	

o to Deposit Account 50-1078. At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 50-1078 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.25. Additionally please charge any fees to Deposit Account 50-1078 under 37 CFR 1.16, 1.17, 1.19, 1.20 and 1.21. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date of Deposit: 12/14/04

e' Michelle Leveque

Respectfully submitted, Schlotzhauer et al

Renee' Michelle Leveque

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 36,193

Date: 12/14/04

Telephone No.: (301) 668-3073

Rev 10/04 (TransAmd)